



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,359	07/29/2005	Michel Fonfrede	00144-0233US1	6005
23973	7590	02/11/2008	EXAMINER	
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH			SINGH, SUNIL	
ATTN: INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP				
ONE LOGAN SQUARE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
18TH AND CHERRY STREETS				3672
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-6996				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
		02/11/2008 PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/535,359	FONFREDE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sunil Singh	3672	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 November 2007.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 10-15 and 17-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 10-15 and 17-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 10,12,14,15,16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gorlov.

Gorlov discloses a device comprising a rotatable wheel (208,202,see Fig. 17) and a fixed part (206) located inside the rotatable, wheel. Disc (208). Blades (202).

3. Claims 10-12, 14,15,17, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mayo,

Jr. et al. (US 5440175).

Mayo, Jr. et al. discloses a device comprising a rotatable wheel (12,14,10, see Fig. 4) and a fixed part (30) located inside the rotatable wheel. Disc (12,14). Blades (10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mayo, Jr. et al..

Mayo, Jr. et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Mayo, Jr. et al. support discs lack a toothed outer form. The examiner takes official notice that support discs having a toothed outer form is old and well known. It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Mayo, Jr. et al. to have a toothed outer form on the support discs since such a modification is a mere design choice.

6. Claims 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mayo, Jr. et al. in view of Logan

Mayo, Jr. et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Mayo, Jr. et al. lack pivotable flaps. Logan teaches pivotable flaps (20-23). It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Mayo, Jr. et al. to include pivotable flaps as taught by Logan since such a modification is a mere design choice.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 11/29/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Gorlov fails to teach a fixed part located inside the rotatable wheel and serving as a dam to retain a water level "for capturing the potential energy of water". It should be noted that "for capturing the potential energy of water" limitation is far more limiting than the claimed subject matter. Second of all, member (206) is capable of functioning as a dam. Dam is defined as a barrier to obstruct the flow of water. Clearly member 206 obstructs the flow of water and thus constitutes a

dam. With regards to the argument that the specification states that "the dam function permits only a slight amount of leakage between the rotor blades and dam" is far more limiting than the claimed subject matter.

Applicant argues that part "30" of Mayo is not capable of acting as a dam. The examiner disagrees. Dam is defined as a barrier to obstruct the flow of water. Clearly member 30 can obstruct the flow of water and thus constitutes a dam. Applicant argues that member 30 spans only a minor portion of the axial length of the waterwheel. It should be noted that the claims do not preclude member 30 from being interpreted as the dam. Applicant argues there is a large space between member 32 and blades 10. However, there is no claim language precluding member 30 from being considered a dam.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sunil Singh whose telephone number is (571) 272-7051. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 10:30 AM - 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on (571) 272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sunil Singh/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3672

Sunil Singh
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3672

SS

1/26/08